Simultaneous Effects of Ionic Surfactant and Salt on Drop-Interface Coalescence
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Abstract
In this research, the effect of mixed salts together with mixed ionic surfactants on drop-interface coalescence time was studied for the system of water (d) / toluene(c) as a model system. Sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) and cetyl trimethyl ammonium bromide (CTAB) as anionic and cationic surfactants were used. Sodium chloride (NaCl) and magnesium sulfate were used as salts. In the first stage of experiments, the system of water and toluene was influenced separately with SDS+NaCl, SDS+MgSO₄, CTAB+NaCl and CTAB+MgSO₄. It was observed that drop size increased with SDS+NaCl and also with SDS+MgSO₄. Partial coalescence times increased for all systems. Overall, this increase of coalescence time was more obvious when CTAB was applied. Also reduction in drop size was observed. In the case of mixed surfactants with single salt, it was observed that partial coalescence was suppressed for the system with (SDS+CTAB)+MgSO₄. On the other hand, drop size decreased and total coalescence time increased. This may be due to the difference between the anions and cations of the two salts. For the case of mixed surfactants with mixed salts, drop size and coalescence time decreased.
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Introduction
Drop coalescence phenomena including binary drop and drop-interface coalescence occurs naturally in liquid-liquid dispersions. The main variables that influence this phenomenon are drop size, physical properties of the chemical system and distance between drops or between drop and interface. The major part of the process which takes more time in the range of seconds is the drainage time of the intervening film of the continuous phase trapped between the drop and mother phase which is the formerly coalesced drops [1-4]. Surface active agent or surfactant has two important roles in liquid dispersions. On the one hand, it reduces interfacial tension and leads one phase to break into drops, and on the other hand stabilizes them by absorption at the interface between the drops and the continuous phase. The drop size depends mostly on the ability of surfactants to
stabilize the drops against coalescence, rather than their ability to reduce the interfacial tension. It was observed earlier that ionic surfactant reduced drop size together with the time coalescence increase, which was vigorous for mixed surfactants [5-8].

It has been proved that mixtures of surfactants can provide better performance than pure or single surfactant. Mixed surfactants show synergism in the dispersion which can be defined like a situation where they act better because of providing minimum energy for the system and they are widely used in research and industry. The hydrophilic-lipophilic properties of the surfactants are varied by mixing [7, 9-11]. Moreover, the presence of any salt such as sodium chloride (NaCl) causes critical micelle concentration of the surfactant to be reduced, because it may reduce the hydrophilic tendency of water-soluble surfactants. The salt increases the degree of coalescence for low surfactant concentration and causes instability in emulsions produced in food industries with protein surfactants [12,13].

It was also concluded that salts have little effect on the coalescence in a system with ionic or nonionic surfactants and, irrespective to lowering the interfacial tension due to the use of mixed surfactants, does not effect the drop size [5, 14, 15]. It was seen that at a higher concentration of NaCl, the shelf life of the emulsion increased due to electrostatic repulsion forces [16].

In this research, we studied the effect of mixed ionic surfactant and salt on the drop-interface coalescence.

Materials and Method
Materials
Selection of chemicals was based primarily on the recommended systems for liquid-liquid extraction [17]. Distilled water was used in all experiments as the dispersed phase. Continuous phase was toluene provided from Merck (purity>99.0 %) with a density of 862.1 kg/m³, viscosity of 0.6689 mPa.s and interfacial tension of 36.2 mN/m. It was used as received. The surface active agents of sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) and cetyl trimethyl ammonium bromide (CTAB) used were also provided from Merck. Purities of anionic and cationic surfactants were above 85.0 % and 90.0 % respectively. Both surfactants were in the form of white powder and were soluble in water.

Also, salts of sodium chloride (NaCl) and Magnesium sulfate (MgSO₄) with purities above 90.0% were provided from Merck.

Apparatus
All the experiments were conducted in a coalescence cell which was designed and constructed earlier [7] based upon the initial design attributed to Charles and Mason [2]. Fig. 1 shows the experimental apparatus and Fig. 2 illustrates the equipment in greater detail.

Capillaries tips were in two forms of flat and conical. In Fig. 3, the capillaries are shown. To prevent possible corrosive effects of chemicals, all parts of the cell were made of Pyrex glass. Ratio of effective height to inside diameter of the cell was taken large enough to prevent wall effects.
Method and procedure
Before each run, it was necessary to saturate the two immiscible phases with each other. It was done by mixing them in a clean separate beaker for approximately 15 minutes and then left undisturbed to separate from each other. To eliminate any contingency of naturally occurring contaminants, all parts of the cell and experimental devices were submerged in a freshly made 10% (v/v) chromic acid, then washed with acetone and warm distilled water followed by drying in an oven. Care must be taken in handling and assembling all parts of the cell together. No lubricant was used for valves or joints. To examine possible leakage, the cell was filled with distilled water and then discharged.

To renew the liquid-liquid interface after each run, the dispersed phase was allowed to flow from its reservoir to the receiver cup for a few seconds and then the content was led to the bottom of the cell and discharge line. The tip of each nozzle was immersed completely inside the dispersed phase in a separate beaker. It caused the drop to form correctly and symmetrically all around the periphery of the nozzle tip to prevent undesirable premature drop formation.
Time interval between successive detached drops from the nozzle tip was adjusted from 2.0 to 3.0 minutes to suppress any disturbance and corrugation that might be produced inevitably at the interface due to drop coalescence.

Coalescence time was measured by a stopwatch having a precision of 0.01 seconds.

For each chemical system the drop size was determined by counting a definite number of successive detached drops from the capillary tip, followed by measuring the total volume of the consumed dispersed phase from the microburette. This trend was repeated for various rates of drop formation and also each size of nozzle by adjusting the valve of the microburette.

Coalescence time, including the time interval between the detachment of drop from nozzle tip towards the interface and entire coalescence with it was measured. It was named the first step of coalescence time.

Upon completion of the first step of the process, the overall time of subsequent steps was measured separately as partial coalescence time [18]. The sum of these two amounts gave the total coalescence time.

Order of experiments was as follows:

a) pure system of water(d) / toluene(c)

b) system with single surfactant and single salt [water(d)/surfactant + salt / toluene(c)]

c) system with mixed (dual) surfactants and single salt [water(d)/ mixed (dual) surfactants + salt / toluene(c)]

d) system with mixed surfactants and mixed salts [water(d) / mixed (dual) surfactants + mixed (dual) salts / toluene(c)]
After several experiments, it was found that the best basis for surfactant was $8 \times 10^{-3}$ gr., as the critical micelle concentration of ionic surfactants are around $10^{-3}$ mol/lit. On the other hand, below this concentration there is no difference between a pure system and a surfactant containing system. Also, for uniformity in calculation the basis of salt amount was chosen $8 \times 10^{-3}$ gr. For the case of mixed surfactants or salts, the second constituent was added in weigh percents of 20, 40, 60 and 80 with respect to the first one. The values were selected in such a manner to resemble the best weigh percent increment [7, 8].

**Result and Discussion**

**a) System of water and toluene:**

Fig. 4 illustrates the results of the first step ($t_1$), partial step ($t_2$) and total step coalescence time ($t$) versus drop size for the system of water (d) / toluene(c).

As seen from this figure, partial coalescence time increased with drop size up to approximately 5.0 mm and then reduced. Total time obeyed this trend as well. However, first coalescence time decreased with drop size and then increased. As a whole, coalescence time increased with drop sizes as observed in this work and those were obtained earlier [7, 8].

**b) System with mixture of surfactant and salt:**

Four systems were studied as follows:

- b-1) water (d) /SDS+NaCl / toluene(c)
- b-2) water (d) /SDS+MgSO4 / toluene(c)
- b-3) water (d) /CTAB+NaCl / toluene(c)
- b-4) water (d) /CTAB+MgSO4 / toluene(c)

It was found that in these experiments the best value for the amount of surfactant and salt was 0.008 gr [7, 8].

The results are shown in Figs. 5 to 7.
Figure 5. First coalescence time for system containing mixture of surfactant and salt.

Figure 6. Partial coalescence time for system containing mixture of surfactant and salt.

Figure 7. Total coalescence time for system containing mixture of surfactant and salt.
The results could be better explained on the average basis as shown in Table 1. It was observed that addition of SDS and NaCl to the pure system caused increases of mean drop size, partial and total coalescence times, while the first time decreased. Mixture of SDS and MgSO$_4$ had also shown this behavior. This trend was also obtained for CTAB and NaCl, but the mean drop size decreased [6]. For the case of CTAB and MgSO$_4$, time increase was very high. It was concluded that:
- Increasing the ionic strength of salt caused drop size decrease which in turn increased average partial and total coalescence times.

c) System with mixture of two surfactants and one salt:
Since it was seen experimentally that CTAB was more effective than SDS, we added it gradually to two systems containing SDS+NaCl and SDS+MgSO$_4$, respectively. The amounts of CTAB which were added to SDS were 20, 40, 60 and 80 percent by weight, respectively.
c-1) System with mixture of surfactants of SDS+CTAB and salt NaCl
The results of coalescence times as functions of drop sizes are shown in Figs. 8 to 10.

Table 1. Results of coalescence times for system containing mixture of surfactant and salt.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical system</th>
<th>Mean drop size (mm)</th>
<th>Ave. first coalescence time (s)</th>
<th>Ave. partial coalescence time (s)</th>
<th>Ave. total coalescence time (s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water (d) / Toluene(c)</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>4.91</td>
<td>5.41</td>
<td>10.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water (d) / SDS+NaCl / Toluene(c)</td>
<td>5.40</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td>8.14</td>
<td>11.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water (d)/SDS+MgSO$_4$/Toluene(c)</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>4.32</td>
<td>7.19</td>
<td>11.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water (d)/CTAB+NaCl / Toluene(c)</td>
<td>4.61</td>
<td>8.82</td>
<td>29.35</td>
<td>38.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water(d)/CTAB+MgSO$_4$/Toluene(c)</td>
<td>4.52</td>
<td>21.00</td>
<td>44.71</td>
<td>65.71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Figure 8](https://via.placeholder.com/150)

**Figure 8.** First coalescence time for system with mixed surfactant (SDS+CTAB) and single salt (NaCl) versus drop size and weigh percent of CTAB.
In Table 2, mean drop size and average coalescence times are compared with each other. For weigh percents of 20 and 40 of CTAB, drop size increased in comparison to the system of water/toluene and system with single surfactant and salt. For other weigh percents, drop sizes decreased. It might be due to the reduction of interfacial tension as a result of a much higher amount of CTAB. Also, partial coalescence was suppressed. For all weigh percents of CTAB, average total coalescence time was obviously decreased. Increase of first step coalescence time was for a weigh percent of 80.

c-2) System with (SDS+CTAB) and MgSO₄

Details of results as functions of drop sizes and weigh percents are depicted in Figs.11 to 13.
Table 2. Coalescence times for systems with mixed surfactant (SDS+CTAB) and single salt (NaCl)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical system</th>
<th>Mean drop size (mm)</th>
<th>Ave. first coalescence time (s)</th>
<th>Ave. partial coalescence time (s)</th>
<th>Ave. total coalescence time (s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water (d) / Toluene(c)</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>4.91</td>
<td>5.41</td>
<td>10.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water (d) / Toluene(c) + SDS+NaCl</td>
<td>5.40</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td>8.14</td>
<td>11.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water (d) / Toluene(c) + SDS+(20% w/w CTAB)+NaCl</td>
<td>5.70</td>
<td>4.13</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>6.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water (d) / Toluene(c) + SDS+(40% w/w CTAB)+NaCl</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water (d) / Toluene(c) + SDS+(60% w/w CTAB)+NaCl</td>
<td>4.54</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water (d) / Toluene(c) + SDS+(80% w/w CTAB)+NaCl</td>
<td>4.30</td>
<td>8.65</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 11. First coalescence time for system with mixed surfactant (SDS+CTAB) and single salt (MgSO₄) versus drop size and weight percent of CTAB.

Figure 12. Partial coalescence time for system with mixed surfactant (SDS+CTAB) and single salt (MgSO₄) versus drop size and weight percent of CTAB.
As observed in Table 3, for 80%(w/w) of CTAB, the first step coalescence time increased compared to the system of water and toluene and also the system with (SDS+MgSO₄). For this amount of CTAB, partial coalescence did not occur. For other weigh percents, partial coalescence also decreased, which was more obvious for 40%(w/w). Total coalescence time was decreased for percents of 20, 40 and 80 which was more obvious at 40 weigh percent. Overall, the comparison between Tables 2 and 3 revealed that drop size was decreased soundly for the system containing MgSO₄ and total coalescence time was increased as well.

Table 3. Coalescence times for system with mixed surfactant (SDS+CTAB) and single salt (MgSO₄)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical system</th>
<th>Mean drop size (mm)</th>
<th>Ave. first coalescence time (s)</th>
<th>Ave. partial coalescence time (s)</th>
<th>Ave. total coalescence time (s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water (d) / Toluene(c)</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>4.91</td>
<td>5.41</td>
<td>10.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water(d)/Toluene(c)+SDS+MgO₄</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>4.32</td>
<td>7.19</td>
<td>11.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water (d) / Toluene(c) +SDS+(20% w/w CTAB)+ MgSO₄</td>
<td>5.59</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td>4.94</td>
<td>8.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water (d) / Toluene(c) +SDS+(40% w/w CTAB) + MgSO₄</td>
<td>4.63</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water (d) / Toluene(c) +SDS+(60% w/w CTAB) + MgSO₄</td>
<td>4.63</td>
<td>3.79</td>
<td>19.32</td>
<td>23.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water (d) / Toluene(c)</td>
<td>4.14</td>
<td>6.59</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6.59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
d) System with mixture of two surfactants and two salts:
As seen in previous sections, it was observed that CTAB behaved more actively with respect to SDS, so did MgSO₄ in comparison to NaCl. For the previously examined system containing SDS and MgSO₄, we add simultaneously CTAB and NaCl, each one with weigh percent ratios of 20, 40, 60 and 80 with respect to the first surfactant or first salt.
The initial amounts of both SDS and MgSO₄ were 0.008 gr.
Table 4 explains the results. Adding CTAB and NaCl reduced drop sizes compared to the system containing SDS & MgSO₄ and also the pure system of water and toluene.
Also, all coalescence times including first, partial and overall steps were reduced at weigh ratios of 0.2, 0.4 and 0.6.
It was concluded that CTAB reduced droplet diameter together with rigidifying it, which increased coalescence time at high weigh percent (80 %). This result is supported by those expressed in Table 2 regarding NaCl as the second salt. The results are shown in Figs. 14 to 16.

Table 4. Coalescence times for system with mixed surfactant (SDS+CTAB) and mixed salts (MgSO₄+NaCl)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical system</th>
<th>Mean drop size (mm)</th>
<th>Ave. first coalescence time (s)</th>
<th>Ave. partial coalescence time (s)</th>
<th>Ave. total coalescence time (s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water (d) / Toluene (c)</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td>4.91</td>
<td>5.41</td>
<td>10.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water (d) / SDS+MgSO₄/Toluene (c)</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>4.32</td>
<td>7.19</td>
<td>11.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water (d) / SDS+MgSo₄+20% w/w CTAB+20% w/w NaCl / Toluene (c)</td>
<td>4.57</td>
<td>3.53</td>
<td>5.03</td>
<td>8.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water (d) / SDS+MgSo₄+40% w/w CTAB+40% w/w NaCl / Toluene (c)</td>
<td>4.66</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>5.12</td>
<td>8.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water (d) / SDS+MgSo₄+60% w/w CTAB+60% w/w NaCl / Toluene (c)</td>
<td>4.62</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>4.65</td>
<td>6.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water (d) / SDS+MgSo₄+80% w/w CTAB+80% w/w NaCl / Toluene (c)</td>
<td>4.29</td>
<td>7.94</td>
<td>12.02</td>
<td>19.96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Figure 14](image-url)

**Figure 14.** First coalescence time versus drop size for system water (d) / (SDS + CTAB) + (MgSO₄ + NaCl) / toluene(c).

---
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Conclusion
It was observed that the nature of surfactant and salt influenced the drop diameter and coalescence time in the water (d) / toluene(c) system as a model system. The results are as follows:

- Drop size increased for the system with SDS+ NaCl and SDS + MgSO₄ contrary to the system with CTAB+NaCl and CTAB + MgSO₄. This trend was inversed for the first coalescence time. Partial coalescence times increased for all systems. Overall, this increase of coalescence time was more obvious for the system with CTAB, which was accompanied by drop reduction. It reveals the better action of
Simultaneous Effects of Ionic Surfactant and Salt on Drop-Interface Coalescence

- For the case of mixed surfactants, with single salt, it was observed that partial coalescence was suppressed in the system containing (SDS+CTAB) + MgSO₄ in comparison to the case of NaCl.

On the other hand, drop size decreased and total coalescence time increased. It may be due to the difference between anions and cations of the two salts.

- For mixed surfactants and mixed salts, drop size and coalescence time decreased.
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