Simulation, Control and Experimental Analysis of 1,3 Butadiene Purification Unit

R. Rahimi1*, Sh. Boulaqhi2, E. Ziarifar3

1Shahid Nikbakht Faculty of Engineering, Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Sistan and Baluchestan, Zahedan, Iran.  
2M.Sc. graduate, School of Graduate Studies, University of Sistan and Baluchestan, Zahedan, Iran.  
3Amir Kabir Petrochemical Plant, Mahshahr, Iran.

ARTICLE INFO
Article history:  
Received: 2015-11-08  
Accepted: 2016-02-03

Keywords:  
Simulation  
Steady-State  
Dynamic  
Distillation  
PID

ABSTRACT
Simulation of a process and analysis of its resulting data in both dynamic and steady-state conditions are fundamental steps in understanding the process in order to design an efficient system control, as well as implementing operational cost reduction scheme. In the present paper, steady and unsteady state simulation of Amir Kabir 1,3 butadiene purification units has been done by using Aspen and Aspen Dynamic software together with the Peng- Robinson equation of state to investigate the system responses to the disturbances.

In the unsteady state simulation mode the flow rates, pressure, temperature and level (FPTL) were controlled by Proportional-Integral-Derivative (PID) controllers in the unit. Finally, transient responses to changes such as feed temperature, feed flow rates, steam flow rates and the duties of the re-boiler of columns in unit were gained. For reaching purified 1,3 butadiene, sensitivity of the process to the fluctuations of feed temperature and on the duties of the re-boilers of the columns is noticeable.

1. Introduction
Effluents from chemical reactors need to be separated in order to achieve product purity of commercial specifications. Several unit operations are available for this purpose such as adsorption, absorption, and stripping, liquid-liquid extraction, leaching, and distillation. Distillation is the most popular and important separation method in the petroleum industries for purification of final products.

A typical distillation column consists of a vertical shell where mass transfer stages such as trays or plates are the main parts of its internals. Distillation columns have an external re-boiler to provide heat for the
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vaporization of the liquid, a condenser to condense the vapor from the top of the column, and a reflux drum to hold the condensed vapor so that liquid reflux can be recycled back to the top of the column. Variation of feed components and its condition are considerable. Therefore, the chosen thermodynamic model greatly affects the column design and prediction of its behavior whilst considering its performance by modeling and simulation. Hence, data of butadiene purification unit [1] were used to analyze the unit behavior and to establish a foundation for its retrofit, say, to more efficient columns such as Dividing or Divided Wall Column (DWC) [2]. Butadiene is a primary feed stock for production of synthesized rubber and polymers such as PER, ABS AND BDSR [1].

Rahimi et al. [3] employed a combination of Peng-Robinson and Peng-Robinson-Twu equations of state for the feed to the columns that were under steady state conditions. Whilst the PR’s equation of state was accepted, the column was modeled under steady and dynamic states using suitable controllers.

Figure 1 shows the block flow diagram of the two conventional columns for purification of 1,3 butadiene with the necessary data [1, 4]. Feed to the column 1 consisted of 6485 kg/h 1,3 butadiene with purity of 98.95 % which was purified to 99.71 % in column 2 as product.

2. Mathematical and thermodynamic models of the distillation column
In the problems of multiple-stage separation for systems with different phases and different

![Figure 1](image-url)
components, it is necessary to specify sufficient number of design variables so that the number of unknown quantities (output variables) become equal to the number of equations (independent variables). The number of equations can be found and counted in a mathematical model. The usual method to mathematically model a distillation process in refining columns is the theoretical stage method. To find the number of the theoretical stages of an existing column, the real number of stages might be multiplied by column efficiency. For each theoretical stage, the mass balance of individual components or pseudo components, energy balance, and vapor-liquid equilibrium equations can be written. The set of these equations creates the mathematical model of a theoretical stage.

For selecting thermodynamic model, range of pressure and temperature, type of real components in the solution, polar or non-polar components and number of real phases in process are considered. For the set of components in the unit(see Tables 1 and 2), Braun K10 “BK10”, Chao-Seader and Peng-Robinson equation of states were examined. Those models are suitable for mixtures of heavier hydrocarbons and non-polar or mildly polar components [5]. Tables 1 and 2 show simulated results for top products of columns 1 and 2 by using each of those three thermodynamic models.

Even though the use of Peng-Robinson-Twu equation has been reported for first column and Peng-Robinson equation for second column [2], the Peng-Robinson equation of state was selected for the 1,3 butadiene purification unit. As the result of simulation for temperature, pressure and mass percent of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Model predictions of top product of column 1 by using different EOS.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Components rates (kg/hr)</td>
<td>Equation of State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chao-Seader</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROPYNE</td>
<td>7.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-BUTENE</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRANS-01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIS-2-01</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,3BUTADIENE</td>
<td>3.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2BUTADIENE</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5+HC</td>
<td>6.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL flow</td>
<td>18.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (˚C)</td>
<td>6.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure(bar)</td>
<td>6.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>Model predictions of top product of column 2 by using different EOS.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Components rates (kg/hr)</td>
<td>Equation of State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chao-Seader</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROPYNE</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-BUTENE</td>
<td>2.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRANS-01</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIS-2-01</td>
<td>10.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,3BUTADIENE</td>
<td>6453.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2BUTADIENE</td>
<td>1.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5+HC</td>
<td>6459.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL flow</td>
<td>42.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (˚C)</td>
<td>4.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure(bar)</td>
<td>4.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

components in top and bottom streams of distillation columns are very near to the operational columns data [1].

3. Mathematical modeling equations
3.1. Mathematical model
Equations are based on the sketch of tray, n, as
given in Fig. 2.

3.2. Mass balance equation
Mass balance equation for each component, j, over each tray, n, is given in Equation 1.

\[
\frac{dM_{n,j}}{dt} = \dot{F}_{in}^{vap} y_{j,in} + \dot{F}_{in}^{liq} x_{j,in} + \dot{F}_{feed}^{z} z_{feed} - \dot{F}_{out}^{vap} y_{j,out} - \dot{F}_{out}^{liq} x_{j,out} - \dot{F}_{side}^{z} x_{j,side}
\]  

(1)

The left side of equation (1) is equal to zero at steady state.

3.3. Energy balance equation
Dynamic general energy balance of tray n is given by equation (2).

\[
\frac{d(M_n h_n)}{dt} = \dot{F}_{in}^{vap} h_{in}^{vap} + \dot{F}_{in}^{liq} h_{in}^{liq} + \dot{F}_{feed}^{h} h_{feed} - \dot{F}_{out}^{vap} h_{out}^{vap} - \dot{F}_{out}^{liq} h_{out}^{liq} - \dot{F}_{side}^{h} h_{side}^{liq} + Q_M - Q_S - Q_l
\]  

(2)

The left side of equation (2) is equal to zero at steady state.

3.4. Vapor-liquid equilibria
Vapor-liquid equilibrium of component j for theoretical stage n is given by equation 3[5]:

\[
y_{n,j} = \frac{\gamma_{n,j} \rho_{n,j}^{sat}}{\Phi_{n,j} p_n} x_{n,j}
\]  

(3)

The fugacity, \( \Phi_{n,j} \), and activity coefficients \( \gamma_{n,j} \), are equal to unity for the ideal vapor and liquid phases, respectively. For ideal cases equation 3 becomes Raoult’s law.

\[
y_{n,j} p_n = \rho_{n,j}^{sat} x_{n,j}
\]  

(4)

However, Peng-Robinson EOS was used for calculation of fugacity and activity coefficients as mentioned in section 2.

4. Simulation
4.1. Steady-state simulation
Amir Kabir petrochemical plant has a unit for purification of 1,3 butadiene product. The unit consists of two distillation columns, two condensers and two re-boilers. Propylene distillation column, column 1 or first column, in series with column 2 or second final distillation column are the main parts of the unit (see Fig. 1). Important parameters for the steady state simulation of each column are number of stages, type of condenser and re-boilers, distillate rate and reflux ratio, feed stage, top stage pressure and column pressure drop. The feed with mass flow rate of 6553.88 kg/hr of liquid butadiene, pressure of 7.1 bara and temperature of 43.5˚C, were entered to the fifteenth stage of the first distillation column with 60 theoretical stages. Stages numbering started from the top of the column. The top product is propene and bottom product was entered to the thirty fifth stage of the second distillation column with 68 theoretical stages. Table 1 shows mass flow rates of the first column’s top products, and Table 2 shows mass flow rates of the second column’s top products that are enriched in 1,3 butadiene. Figures 3 and 4 show temperature and 1,3 butadiene mass percentage changes curve of second column top product with feed flow rate changes in range of 6500 kg/hr to 8000 kg/h.

It should be mentioned that increasing feed flow rates did not change temperature considerably whilst condenser pressure was kept constant, as is apparent from Fig. 3.
Those figures show that increasing feed flow rate causes decrease of top product temperature and purity, but at low mass flow rate of 6650 kg/h there is a maximum purity of 99.78%. Figures 5 to 10 show variation of temperature and important component mass percentage in different stages of the columns. These variations follow the normal behavior i.e., temperature increases from stage 1, top of the column towards stages at the bottom of the columns. However, shape of the curves for the two columns does not follow the same trend.

4.2. Dynamic simulation
By using dynamic simulation important information can be obtained, which would not have been obtained using steady state calculations. The steady state method can only determine the initial and final states of the systems.
To observe the effects of pressure, temperature, feed flow and other changes in the products of the unit and investigation of results in real processes, the steady-state simulation is exported to dynamic simulation. In steady state simulation, quantity of controllers parameters is not necessary, but for dynamic simulation before sending the steady-state files to dynamic domain, the quantities of controller’s parameters should be defined. For example, dynamic requirements of column are column diameter, tray spacing, tray active area, weir length, weir height, reflux drum length and diameter, and sump length and diameter. Of course, all of the dynamic simulation requirements were provided by Research and Development (R&D) Department of Amir Kabir Petrochemical Company (AKPC).
After entering and exporting data to dynamic simulation in order to control the flow, pressure, temperature and level of streams, especially all products when feed flow rate changes, controllers were added in the right places in the dynamic space. For all controllers in the dynamic domain the PID model was selected.

Table 3 shows results of dynamic simulation for first column top flow. Table 4 shows results of dynamic simulation for second column top flow.

Figure 11 shows dynamic simulation scheme of 1,3 butadiene purification unit. The location of controllers on Fig. 11 is in accordance with the operational unit. For dynamic simulation of the unit, two condenser pressure controllers, two level controllers for the top of each column, and two level controllers for the bottom of each column were installed.

Figure 12 shows variation of mass flow rates, temperature and pressure with time for the first column, whilst Fig. 13 is that for the second column. The effect of controller on the smoothness of the variation of temperature and product purity with feed is noticeable [5].

5. Discussion of the simulation and experimental results

The steady and unsteady state or dynamic results were analyzed by employing the MATLAB®/ Simulink TM software together with Aspen plus [6-8]. The analysis is shown in Figs. 14 to 20. Transient responses to changes of feed temperature, feed flow rates, steam flow rates and the duties of the reboilers of columns in the 1,3 butadiene purification are given.

### Table 3
Results of dynamic simulation for first column top flow.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Components (kg/hr)</th>
<th>Plant data</th>
<th>Results of dynamic simulation</th>
<th>Error percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PROPYNE</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>7.39</td>
<td>-7.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-BUTENE</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRANS-01</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIS-2-01</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,3 BUTADIENE</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>10.91</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2 BUTADIENE</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5+HC</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WATER</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total flow</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>18.31</td>
<td>-2.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (°C)</td>
<td>41.4</td>
<td>47.03</td>
<td>-0.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4
Results of dynamic simulation for second column top flow.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters (kg/hr)</th>
<th>Plant data</th>
<th>Results of dynamic simulation</th>
<th>Error percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PROPYLE</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-BUTENE</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>-10.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRANS-01</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>-32.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIS-2-01</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>10.58</td>
<td>-26.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,3 BUTADIENE</td>
<td>6449.4</td>
<td>6454.17</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,2 BUTADIENE</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5+HC</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WATER</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total flow</td>
<td>6468.53</td>
<td>6468.92</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (°C)</td>
<td>41.7</td>
<td>41.26</td>
<td>-1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure (bar)</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figures 14 to 20 show that amongst all the disturbances, the system is more sensitive to changes in the feed temperature and the duties of the reboilers. Figure 14 shows that variation feed flow rate created unimportant changes in purity percentage of final 1,3 butadiene, therefore control of feed flow rate is not very important.
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Figure 11. Shows control loops.

Figure 12. Pressure, temperature and mass flow rate changes in column 1 top flow.

Figure 13. Pressure, temperature and mass flow rate changes in column 2 top flow.

Figure 14. Curves of a) final 1,3 butadiene purity percentage and b) final 1,3 butadiene mass flow rate with change of feed mass flow rate.
Figure 15. Curves of final 1,3 butadiene purity percentage and final 1,3 butadiene mass flow rate with change of feed temperature (+ 4.5°C).

Figure 16. Curves of final 1,3 butadiene purity percentage and final 1,3 butadiene mass flow rate with change of feed temperature (- 4.5°C).
Figure 17. Curves of final 1,3 butadiene purity percentage and final 1,3 butadiene mass flow rate with change of re-boiler duty of first column (+ 2 %).

Figure 18. Curves of final 1,3 butadiene purity percentage and final 1,3 butadiene mass flow rate with change of re-boiler duty of first column (– 2 %).

Figures 15 and 16 show that temperature changes of about ±1°C do not affect percentage of purity of final 1,3 butadiene but decrease it with more changes in temperature.
Figures 17 to 20 show that in both columns with increase in duties of the reboilers, decrease of the percentage of purity of final 1,3 butadiene would occur. Purity of final 1,3 butadiene purity percent 1,3 butadiene mass flow rate

Figure 19. Curves of final 1,3 butadiene purity percentage and final 1,3 butadiene mass flow rate with change of re-boiler duty of second column (+ 2 %).

Figure 20. Curves of final 1,3 butadiene purity percentage and final 1,3 butadiene mass flow rate with change of re-boiler duty of second column (- 2 %).
butadiene product of second column is sensitive to the variation of reboilers heat duty.

6. Conclusions
Simulation results from the steady-state and the dynamic simulations are in agreement with plant data. The differences are negligible. It shows that virtual control of variables in dynamic simulation as a flexible simulator can eliminate an expensive real pilot experimentation.

It was found that the system is more sensitive to changes in the feed temperature and the duties of the re-boilers than the variation of feed flow rate. Therefore, it was recommended that attention on feed temperature and re-boiler duty should be increased.
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Nomenclature
- \( A_{D,n} \): surface area of the down-comer [m²].
- \( A_{T,n} \): active surface area of the \( n \)th stage [m²].
- \( F_{in}^{\text{liq}} \): molar flow of input liquid on \( n \)th stage [mol.s⁻¹].
- \( F_{in}^{\text{vap}} \): molar flow of input vapor on \( n \)th stage [mol.s⁻¹].
- \( F_{out}^{\text{liq}} \): molar flow of output liquid from \( n \)th stage [mol.s⁻¹].
- \( F_{out}^{\text{vap}} \): molar flow of output vapor from \( n \)th stage [mol.s⁻¹].
- \( F_{\text{side}} \): molar side stream from \( n \)th stage [mol.s⁻¹].
- \( F_{\text{feed}} \): molar feed flow on \( n \)th stage [mol.s⁻¹].
- \( h_{\text{in}}^{\text{liq}} \): molar enthalpy of input liquid on \( n \)th stage [J.mol⁻¹].
- \( h_{\text{in}}^{\text{vap}} \): molar enthalpy of input vapor on \( n \)th stage [J.mol⁻¹].
- \( h_{\text{out}}^{\text{liq}} \): molar enthalpy of output liquid from \( n \)th stage [J.mol⁻¹].
- \( h_{\text{out}}^{\text{vap}} \): molar enthalpy of output vapor from \( n \)th stage [J.mol⁻¹].
- \( h_{\text{a}} \): molar enthalpy of the liquid on \( n \)th stage [J.mol⁻¹].
- \( h_{r} \): molar enthalpy of feed [J.mol⁻¹].
- \( h_{\text{R},n} \): liquid height on \( n \)th stage [m].
- \( h_{\text{D},n} \): liquid height on the down-comer [m].
- \( M_{n} \): liquid mole accumulated on \( n \)th stage (liquid holdup on \( n \)th stage) [mol.s⁻¹].
- \( M_{n,j} \): liquid mole accumulated of component \( j \) on \( n \)th stage [mol.s⁻¹].
- \( Q_{M} \): heat of mixing [kW].
- \( Q_{\text{s}} \): external heat source [kW].
- \( Q_{L} \): heat losses [kW].
- \( x_{j,\text{in}} \): molar fraction of component \( j \) in the input liquid on \( n \)th stage.
- \( x_{j,\text{out}} \): molar fraction of component \( j \) in output liquid current from \( n \)th stage.
- \( y_{j,\text{in}} \): molar fraction of component \( j \) in the input vapor on \( n \)th stage.
- \( y_{j,\text{out}} \): molar fraction of component \( j \) in the output vapor current from \( n \)th stage.
- \( z_{\text{feed}} \): molar fraction of component \( j \) in the feed current on \( n \)th stage.
- \( \rho_{\text{liq,n}} \): liquid density at \( n \)th stage [mol.m⁻³].
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